ZMP-HH/16-29
Hamburger Beiträge zur Mathematik Nr. 636

THE SERRE AUTOMORPHISM VIA HOMOTOPY ACTIONS AND THE COBORDISM HYPOTHESIS FOR ORIENTED MANIFOLDS

JAN HESSE AND ALESSANDRO VALENTINO

Abstract. We explicitely construct an $SO(2)$-action on a skeletal version of the 2-dimensional framed bordism bicategory. By the 2-dimensional Cobordism Hypothesis for framed manifolds, we obtain an $SO(2)$-action on the core of fully-dualizable objects of the target bicategory. This action is shown to coincide with the one given by the Serre automorphism. We give an explicit description of the bicategory of homotopy fixed points of this action, and discuss its relation to the classification of oriented 2-d topological quantum field theories.

arXiv:1701.03895v1 [math.QA] 14 Jan 2017

1. Introduction

According to [Lur09], fully-extended 2-dimensional oriented topological quantum field theories are classified by homotopy fixed points of an $SO(2)$-action on the core of fully-dualizable objects of the target space. This action is supposed to be induced by an $SO(2)$-action on the framed bordism bicategory. In this paper, we aim to make these statements precise, along with developing new results concerning actions of groups on bicategories.

We first clarify the situation on the algebraic side by giving a detailed description of the $SO(2)$-action of the Serre automorphism on the core of fully-dualizable objects $\mathscr{K}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{fd}})$ of an arbitrary symmetric monoidal bicategory $\mathcal{C}$.

We then explicitly construct an $SO(2)$-action on a skeletal version of the framed bordism bicategory, which has been obtained in terms of generators and relations in [Pst14].

By the Cobordism Hypothesis for framed manifolds, which has been proven in the setting of bicategories in [Pst14], there is an equivalence of bicategories

$$\mathrm{Fun}_{\otimes}(\mathrm{Cob}^{\mathrm{fr}}_{2,1,0},\mathcal{C}) \cong \mathscr{K}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{fd}}). \tag{1.1}$$

This equivalence allows us to transport the $SO(2)$-action on the framed bordism bicategory to the core of fully-dualizable objects of $\mathcal{C}$. We then prove that this induced $SO(2)$-action on $\mathscr{K}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{fd}})$ is given precisely by the Serre automorphism, showing that the Serre automorphism has indeed a geometric origin, as expected from [Lur09].

In the last section we comment on the relation between the oriented bordism bicategory and the bicategory of homotopy co-invariants of the $SO(2)$-action on the framed bordism bicategory. In fact, we argue that exhibiting the oriented bordism bicategory as the colimit of the action is equivalent to proving the Cobordism Hypothesis for oriented manifolds.

The paper is organized as follows.

In Section 2 we recall the notion of a fully-dualizable object in a symmetric monoidal bicategory $\mathcal{C}$. For each such an object X, we define the Serre automorphism as a certain 1-endomorphism of X. We show that the Serre automorphism is a pseudo-natural transformation of the identity functor on $\mathscr{K}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{fd}})$, which is moreover monoidal. This suffices to define an $SO(2)$-action on $\mathscr{K}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{fd}})$.

Section 3 investigates when a group action on a bicategory $\mathcal{C}$ is equivalent to the trivial action. We obtain a general criterion for when such an action is trivializable.

In Section 4, we compute the bicategory of homotopy fixed points of an $SO(2)$-action coming from a pseudo-natural transformation of the identity functor of an arbitrary bicategory $\mathcal{C}$. This generalizes the main result in [HSV16], which computes homotopy fixed points of the trivial $SO(2)$-action on $\mathrm{Alg}_2^{\mathrm{fd}}$. Our more general theorem allows us to give an explicit description of the bicategory of homotopy fixed points of the Serre automorphism.

In Section 5, we introduce a skeletal version of the framed bordism bicategory by generators and relations, and define a non-trivial $SO(2)$-action on this bicategory. By the framed Cobordism Hypothesis, as

in Equation (1.1), we obtain an $SO(2)$-action on $\mathscr{K}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{fd}})$, which we prove to be given by the Serre automorphism.
In Section 6 we discuss 2d invertible field theories, providing a general criterion for the trivialization of the $SO(2)$-action in this case.
In Section 7, we give an outlook on *homotopy co-invariants* of the $SO(2)$-action, and argue about their relation to the Cobordism Hypothesis for oriented manifolds.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Domenico Fiorenza, Claudia Scheimbauer and Christoph Schweigert for useful discussions. J.H. is supported by the RTG 1670 "Mathematics inspired by String Theory and Quantum Field Theory". A.V. is partly supported by the NCCR SwissMAP, funded by the Swiss National Science Foundation, and by the COST Action MP1405 QSPACE, supported by COST (European Cooperation in Science and Technology).

2. Fully-dualizable objects and the Serre automorphism

The aim of this section is to introduce the main objects of the present paper. On the algebraic side, these are fully-dualizable objects in a symmetric monoidal bicategory $\mathcal{C}$, and the Serre automorphism. Though some of the following material has already appeared in the literature, we recall the relevant definitions in order to fix notation. For details, we refer the reader to [Pst14].

Definition 2.1. *A dual pair in a symmetric monoidal bicategory $\mathcal{C}$ consists of an object X, an object X^*, two 1-morphisms*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{ev}_X &: X \otimes X^* \to 1 \\ \mathrm{coev}_X &: 1 \to X^* \otimes X \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

and two invertible 2-morphisms α and β in the diagrams below.

(2.2)



(2.3)



We call an object X of $\mathcal{C}$ dualizable if it can be completed to a dual pair. A dual pair is said to be coherent if the "swallowtail" equations are satisfied, as in [Pst14, Def. 2.6].

Remark 2.2. Given a dual pair, it is always possible to modify the 2-cell β in such a way that the swallowtail are fulfilled, cf. [Pst14, Theorem 2.7].

Dual pairs can be organized into a bicategory by defining appropriate 1- and 2-morphisms between them. The bicategory of dual pairs turns out to be a 2-groupoid. Moreover, the bicategory of coherent dual pairs is equivalent to the core of dualizable objects in $\mathcal{C}$. In particular, this shows that any two coherent dual pairs over the same dualizable object are equivalent.
We now come to the stronger concept of fully-dualizability.

Definition 2.3. *An object X in a symmetric monoidal bicategory is called fully-dualizable if it can be completed into a dual pair and the evaluation and coevaluation maps admit both left- and right adjoints.*

Note that if left- and right adjoints exists, the adjoint maps will have adjoints themselves, since we work in a bicategorical setting [Pst14]. Thus, Definition 2.3 agrees with the definition of [Lur09] in the special case of bicategories.

2.1. **The Serre automorphism.** Recall that by definition, the evaluation morphism for a fully dualizable object X admits both a right-adjoint ev_X^R and a left adjoint ev_X^L. We use these adjoints to define the Serre-automorphism of X:

Definition 2.4. *Let X be a fully-dualizable object in a symmetric monoidal bicategory. The Serre automorphism of X is the following composition of 1-morphisms:*

$$S_X : X \cong X \otimes 1 \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_X \otimes \mathrm{ev}_X^R} X \otimes X \otimes X^* \xrightarrow{\tau_{X,X} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{X^*}} X \otimes X \otimes X^* \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_X \otimes \mathrm{ev}_X} X \otimes 1 \cong X. \tag{2.4}$$

Notice that the Serre automorphism is actually a 1-equivalence of X, since an inverse is given by the 1-morphism

$$S_X^{-1} = (\mathrm{id}_X \circ \mathrm{ev}_X) \circ (\tau_{X,X} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{X^*}) \circ (\mathrm{id}_X \otimes \mathrm{ev}_X^L), \tag{2.5}$$

cf. [Lur09, DSS13].
The next lemma is well-known [Lur09, Pst14], and is straightforward to show graphically.

Lemma 2.5. *Let X be fully-dualizable in $\mathcal{C}$. Then, there are 2-isomorphisms*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{ev}_X^R &\cong \tau_{X^*,X} \circ (\mathrm{id}_{X^*} \otimes S_X) \circ \mathrm{coev}_X \\ \mathrm{ev}_X^L &\cong \tau_{X^*,X} \circ (\mathrm{id}_{X^*} \otimes S_X^{-1}) \circ \mathrm{coev}_X \,. \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Next, we show that the Serre automorphism is actually a pseudo-natural transformation of the identity functor on the maximal subgroupoid of $\mathcal{C}$, as suggested in [Sch13]. To the best of our knowledge, a proof of this statement has not appeared in the literature so far, hence we illustrate the details in the following. We begin by showing that the evaluation 1-morphism is "dinatural".

Lemma 2.6. *Let X be dualizable in $\mathcal{C}$. The evaluation 1-morphism ev_X is "dinatural": for every 1-morphism $f : X \to Y$ between dualizable objects, there is a natural 2-isomorphism ev_f in the diagram below.*

(2.7)



Proof. We explicitly write out the definition of f^* and define ev_f to be the composition of the 2-morphisms in the diagram below.
(2.8)



□

In order to show that the Serre automorphism is pseudo-natural, we also need to show the dinaturality of the right adjoint of the evaluation.

Lemma 2.7. *For a fully-dualizable object X of $\mathcal{C}$, the right adjoint ev^R of the evaluation is "dinatural" with respect to 1-equivalences: for every 1-equivalence $f : X \to Y$ between fully-dualizable objects, there is a natural 2-isomorphism ev_f^R in the diagram below.*

(2.9)



Proof. In a first step, we show that $f \otimes (f^*)^{-1} \circ \mathrm{ev}_X^R$ is a right-adjoint to $\mathrm{ev}_X \circ (f^{-1} \otimes f^*)$. In formula:

$$(\mathrm{ev}_X \circ f^{-1} \otimes f^*)^R = f \otimes (f^*)^{-1} \circ \mathrm{ev}_X^R \,. \tag{2.10}$$

Indeed, let

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_X &: \mathrm{id}_{X \otimes X^*} \to \mathrm{ev}_X^R \circ \mathrm{ev}_X \\ \varepsilon_X &: \mathrm{ev}_X \circ \mathrm{ev}_X^R \to \mathrm{id}_1 \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

be the unit and counit of the right-adjunction of ev_X and its right adjoint ev_X^R. We construct unit and counit for the adjunction in Equation (2.10). Let

$$\begin{aligned} &\tilde{\varepsilon} : \mathrm{ev}_X \circ (f^{-1} \otimes f^*) \circ (f \otimes (f^*)^{-1}) \circ \mathrm{ev}_X^R \cong \mathrm{ev}_X \circ \mathrm{ev}_X^R \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_X} \mathrm{id}_1 \\ &\tilde{\eta} : \mathrm{id}_{Y \otimes Y^*} \cong (f \otimes (f^*)^{-1}) \circ (f^{-1} \otimes f^*) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id} * \eta_X * \mathrm{id}} (f \otimes (f^*)^{-1}) \circ \mathrm{ev}_X^R \circ \mathrm{ev}_X \circ (f^{-1} \otimes f^*). \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

Now, one checks that the quadruple

$$(\mathrm{ev}_X \circ (f^{-1} \otimes f^*),\, (f \otimes (f^*)^{-1}) \circ \mathrm{ev}_X^R,\, \tilde{\varepsilon}, \tilde{\eta}) \tag{2.13}$$

fulfills indeed the axioms of an adjunction. This follows from the fact that the quadruple $(\mathrm{ev}_X, \mathrm{ev}_X^R, \varepsilon_X, \eta_X)$ is an adjunction. This shows Equation (2.10).
Now, notice that due to the dinaturality of the evaluation in Lemma 2.6, we have a natural 2-isomorphism

$$\mathrm{ev}_Y \cong \mathrm{ev}_X \circ (f^{-1} \otimes f^*). \tag{2.14}$$

Combining this 2-isomorphism with Equation (2.10) shows that the right adjoint of ev_Y is given by $f \otimes (f^*)^{-1} \circ \mathrm{ev}_X^R$. Since all right-adjoints are isomorphic the 1-morphism $f \otimes (f^*)^{-1} \circ \mathrm{ev}_X^R$ is isomorphic to ev_Y^R, as desired. □

We can now prove the following

Proposition 2.8. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a symmetric monoidal bicategory. Denote by $\mathscr{K}(\mathcal{C})$ the maximal sub-bigroupoid of $\mathcal{C}$. The Serre automorphism S is a pseudo-natural isomorphism of the identity functor on $\mathscr{K}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{fd}})$.*

Proof. Let $f : X \to Y$ be a 1-morphism in $\mathscr{K}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{fd}})$. We need to provide a natural 2-isomorphism in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{S_X} & X \\ {\scriptstyle f}\downarrow & \swarrow_{S_f} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} \\ Y & \xrightarrow[S_Y]{} & Y \end{array} \tag{2.15}$$

By spelling out the definition of the Serre automorphism, we see that this is equivalent to filling the following diagram with natural 2-cells:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} X & \longrightarrow & X\,1 & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_X\ \mathrm{ev}_X^R} & X\,X\,X^* & \xrightarrow{\tau_{X,X}\,\mathrm{id}_{X^*}} & X\,X\,X^* & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_X\ \mathrm{ev}_X} & X\,1 & \longrightarrow & X \\ {\scriptstyle f}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f\,\mathrm{id}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f\,f\,(f^*)^{-1}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f\,f\,(f^*)^{-1}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f\,\mathrm{id}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} \\ Y & \longrightarrow & Y\,1 & \xrightarrow[\mathrm{id}_Y\ \mathrm{ev}_Y^R]{} & Y\,Y\,Y^* & \xrightarrow[\tau_{Y,Y}\,\mathrm{id}_{Y^*}]{} & Y\,Y\,Y^* & \xrightarrow[\mathrm{id}_Y\ \mathrm{ev}_Y]{} & Y\,1 & \longrightarrow & Y \end{array} \tag{2.16}$$

The first, the last and the middle square can be filled with a natural 2-cell due to the fact that $\mathcal{C}$ is a symmetric monoidal bicategory. The square involving the evaluation commutes up to a 2-cell using the mate of the 2-cell of Lemma 2.6, while the square involving the right adjoint of the evaluation commutes up a 2-cell using the mate of the 2-cell of Lemma 2.7. □

2.2. **Monoidality of the Serre automorphism.** In this section we show that the Serre automorphism respects the monoidal structure. We begin with the following two lemma

Lemma 2.9. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a monoidal bicategory. Let X and Y be dualizable objects of $\mathcal{C}$. Then, there is a 1-equivalence $\xi : (X \otimes Y)^* \cong Y^* \otimes X^*$.*

Proof. Define two 1-morphisms in $\mathcal{C}$ by setting

$$(\mathrm{id}_{Y^*}\otimes\mathrm{id}_{X^*}\otimes\mathrm{ev}_{X\otimes Y})\circ(\mathrm{id}_{Y^*}\otimes\mathrm{coev}_X\otimes\mathrm{id}_Y\otimes\mathrm{id}_{(X\otimes Y)^*})\circ(\mathrm{coev}_Y\otimes\mathrm{id}_{(X\otimes Y)^*}) : (X\otimes Y)^*\to Y^*\otimes X^* \tag{2.17}$$

$$(\mathrm{id}_{(X\otimes Y)^*}\otimes\mathrm{ev}_X)\circ(\mathrm{id}_{(X\otimes Y)^*}\otimes\mathrm{id}_X\otimes\mathrm{ev}_Y\otimes\mathrm{id}_{X^*})\circ(\mathrm{coev}_{X\otimes Y}\otimes\mathrm{id}_Y^*\otimes\mathrm{id}_{X^*}) : Y^*\otimes X^*\to(X\otimes Y)^*. \tag{2.18}$$

These two 1-morphisms are (up to invertible 2-cells) inverse to each other. This shows the claim. □

Now, we show that the evaluation 1-morphism respects the monoidal structure:

Lemma 2.10. *For a dualizable object X of a symmetric monoidal bicategory $\mathcal{C}$, the evaluation 1-morphism is monoidal. More precisely: the following diagram commutes up to a natural 2-cell.*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (X\otimes Y)\otimes(X\otimes Y)^* & & \xrightarrow{\qquad\qquad\mathrm{ev}_{X\otimes Y}\qquad\qquad} & & 1 \\ {\scriptstyle \mathrm{id}_{X\otimes Y}\otimes\xi}\downarrow & & & & \downarrow \\ (X\otimes Y)\otimes Y^*\otimes X^* & \xrightarrow[\mathrm{id}_X\otimes\tau_{Y\otimes Y^*,X^*}]{} & X\otimes X^*\otimes Y\otimes Y^* & \xrightarrow[\mathrm{ev}_X\otimes\mathrm{ev}_Y]{} & 1\otimes 1 \end{array} \tag{2.19}$$

Here, the 1-equivalence ξ is due to Lemma 2.9.

Proof. Consider the diagram in figure 1 on page 20: here, the composition of the horizontal arrows at the top, together with the two arrows on the vertical right are exactly the 1-morphism in Equation (2.19). The other arrow is given by $\mathrm{ev}_{X\otimes Y}$. We have not written down the tensor product, and left out isomorphisms of the form $1\otimes X\cong X\cong X\otimes 1$ for readability. □

We can now establish the monoidality of the right adjoint of the evaluation via the following

Lemma 2.11. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ a symmetric monoidal bicategory, and let X and Y be fully-dualizable objects. Then, the right adjoint of the evaluation is monoidal. More precisely: if $\xi : (X\otimes Y)^*\to Y^*\otimes X^*$ is the 1-equivalence of Lemma 2.9, the following diagram commutes up to a natural 2-cell.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & \xrightarrow{\qquad\mathrm{ev}^R_{X\otimes Y}\qquad} & X\otimes Y\otimes(X\otimes Y)^* \\ {\scriptstyle \mathrm{ev}^R_X\otimes\mathrm{ev}^R_Y}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{id}_{X\otimes Y}\otimes\xi} \\ X\otimes X^*\otimes Y\otimes Y^* & \xrightarrow[\mathrm{id}_X\otimes\tau_{X^*,Y\otimes Y^*}]{} & X\otimes Y\otimes Y^*\otimes X^* \end{array} \tag{2.20}$$

Proof. In a first step, we show that the right adjoint of the 1-morphism

$$(\mathrm{ev}_X\otimes\mathrm{ev}_Y)\circ(\mathrm{id}_X\otimes\tau_{Y\otimes Y^*,X^*})\circ(\mathrm{id}_{X\otimes Y}\otimes\xi) \tag{2.21}$$

is given by the 1-morphism

$$(\mathrm{id}_{X\otimes Y}\otimes\xi^{-1})\circ(\mathrm{id}_X\circ\tau_{X^*,Y\otimes Y^*})\circ(\mathrm{ev}^R_X\otimes\mathrm{ev}^R_Y). \tag{2.22}$$

Indeed, if

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_X &: \mathrm{id}_{X\otimes X^*}\to\mathrm{ev}^R_X\circ\mathrm{ev}_X\\ \varepsilon_X &: \mathrm{ev}_X\circ\mathrm{ev}^R_X\to\mathrm{id}_1\end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

are the unit and counit of the right-adjunction of ev_X and its right adjoint ev^R_X, we construct adjunction data for the adjunction in equations (2.21) and (2.22) as follows. Let $\tilde\varepsilon$ and $\tilde\eta$ be the following 2-morphisms:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde\varepsilon : (\mathrm{ev}_X\otimes\mathrm{ev}_Y)\circ(\mathrm{id}_X\otimes\tau_{Y\otimes Y^*,X^*})\circ(\mathrm{id}_{X\otimes Y}\otimes\xi)\circ(\mathrm{id}_{X\otimes Y}\otimes\xi^{-1})&\\ \circ(\mathrm{id}_X\otimes\tau_{X^*,Y\otimes Y^*})\circ(\mathrm{ev}^R_X\otimes\mathrm{ev}^R_Y)&\\ \cong(\mathrm{ev}_X\otimes\mathrm{ev}_Y)\circ(\mathrm{id}_X\otimes\tau_{Y\otimes Y^*,X^*})\circ(\mathrm{id}_X\otimes\tau_{X^*,Y\otimes Y^*})\circ(\mathrm{ev}^R_X\otimes\mathrm{ev}^R_Y)&\\ \cong(\mathrm{ev}_X\otimes\mathrm{ev}_Y)\circ(\mathrm{ev}^R_X\otimes\mathrm{ev}^R_Y)\xrightarrow{\varepsilon_X\otimes\varepsilon_Y}\mathrm{id}_1&\end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde\eta : \mathrm{id}_{X\otimes Y\otimes(X\otimes Y)^*}&\cong(\mathrm{id}_{X\otimes Y}\otimes\xi^{-1})\circ(\mathrm{id}_{X\otimes Y}\otimes\xi)\\ &\cong(\mathrm{id}_{X\otimes Y}\otimes\xi^{-1})\circ(\mathrm{id}_X\otimes\tau_{X^*,Y\otimes Y^*})\circ(\mathrm{id}_X\otimes\tau_{Y\otimes Y^*,X^*})\circ(\mathrm{id}_{X\otimes Y}\otimes\xi)\\ &\xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}\otimes\eta_X\otimes\eta_Y\otimes\mathrm{id}}(\mathrm{id}_{X\otimes Y}\otimes\xi^{-1})\circ(\mathrm{id}_X\otimes\tau_{X^*,Y\otimes Y^*})\circ(\mathrm{ev}^R_X\otimes\mathrm{ev}^R_Y)\\ &\qquad\circ(\mathrm{ev}_X\otimes\mathrm{ev}_Y)\circ(\mathrm{id}_X\otimes\tau_{Y\otimes Y^*,X^*})\circ(\mathrm{id}_{X\otimes Y}\otimes\xi)\end{aligned} \tag{2.25}$$

One now shows that the two 1-morphisms in Equation (2.21) and (2.22), together with the two 2-morphisms $\tilde{\varepsilon}$ and $\tilde{\eta}$ form an adjunction. This gives that the two 1-morphisms in Equations (2.21) and (2.22) are adjoint.
Next, notice that the 1-morphism in Equation (2.21) is isomorphic to the 1-morphism $\mathrm{ev}_{X\otimes Y}$ by Lemma 2.10. Thus, the right adjoint of $\mathrm{ev}_{X\otimes Y}$ is given by the right adjoint of the 1-morphism in Equation (2.21), which is the 1-morphism in Equation (2.22) by the argument above. Since all adjoints are equivalent, this shows the lemma. □

We are now ready to prove that the Serre automorphism is a monoidal pseudo-natural transformation.

Proposition 2.12. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a symmetric monoidal bicategory. Then, the Serre automorphism is a* monoidal *pseudo-natural transformation of* $\mathrm{Id}_{\mathscr{K}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{fd}})}$.

Proof. By definition (cf. [SP09, Definition 2.7]) we have to provide invertible 2-cells

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi &: S_{X\otimes Y} \to S_X \otimes S_Y \\ M &: \mathrm{id}_1 \to S_1. \end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

By the definition of the Serre automorphism in Definition 2.4, it suffices to show that the evaluation and its right adjoint are monoidal, since the braiding τ will be monoidal by definition. The monoidality of the evaluation is proven in Lemma 2.10, while the monoidality of its right adjoint follows from Lemma 2.11. These two lemmas thus provide an invertible 2-cell $S_{X\otimes Y} \cong S_X \otimes S_Y$. The second 2-cell $\mathrm{id}_1 \to S_1$ can be constructed in a similar way, by noticing that $1 \cong 1^*$. □

3. Monoidal homotopy actions

In this section, we investigate homotopy actions on symmetric monoidal bicategories. In particular, we are interested in the case when the group action is compatible with the monoidal structure. By a (homotopy) action of a topological group G on a bicategory $\mathcal{C}$, we mean a weak monoidal 2-functor $\rho : \Pi_2(G) \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$, where $\Pi_2(G)$ is the fundamental 2-groupoid of G, and $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$ is the bicategory of auto-equivalences of $\mathcal{C}$. For details on homotopy actions of groups on bicategories, we refer the reader to [HSV16].
In order to simplify the exposition, we introduce the following

Definition 3.1. *Let G be a topological group. We will say that G is 2-truncated if $\pi_2(G, x)$ is trivial for every base point $x \in G$.*

Moreover, we will need also the following

Definition 3.2. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a symmetric monoidal bicategory. We will say that $\mathcal{C}$ is 1-connected if it is monoidally equivalent to B^2H, for some abelian group H.*

In the following, we denote with $\mathrm{Aut}^{\otimes}(\mathcal{C})$ the bicategory of auto-equivalences of $\mathcal{C}$ which are compatible with the monoidal structure.

Definition 3.3. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a symmetric monoidal category and G be a topological group. A* monoidal *homotopy action of G on $\mathcal{C}$ is a monoidal morphism $\rho : \Pi_2(G) \to \mathrm{Aut}^{\otimes}(\mathcal{C})$.*

We now prove a general criterion for when monoidal homotopy actions are trivializable.

Proposition 3.4. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a symmetric monoidal bicategory, and let G be a path connected topological group. Assume that G is 2-truncated, and $\mathrm{Aut}^{\otimes}(\mathcal{C})$ is 1-connected, with an abelian group H. If $H^2_{grp}(\pi_1(G, e), H) \simeq 0$, then any monoidal homotopy action of G on $\mathcal{C}$ is pseudo-naturally isomorphic to the trivial action.*

Proof. Let $\rho : \Pi_2(G) \to \mathrm{Aut}^{\otimes}(\mathcal{C})$ be a weak monoidal 2-functor. Since $\mathrm{Aut}^{\otimes}(\mathcal{C})$ was assumed to be monoidally equivalent to B^2H for some abelian group H, the group action ρ is equivalent to a 2-functor $\rho : \Pi_2(G) \to B^2H$. Due to the fact that G is path connected and 2-truncated, we have that $\Pi_2(G) \simeq B\pi_1(G, e)$, where $\pi_1(G, e)$ is regarded as a discrete monoidal category. Thus, the homotopy action ρ is equivalent to a weak monoidal 2-functor $B\pi_1(G, e) \to B^2H$. We claim that such functors are classified by $H^2_{grp}(\pi_1(G, e), H)$ up to pseudo-natural isomorphism. Indeed, going through the definition as in [SP09, Definition 2.5], we find that the only non-trivial datum of a monoidal 2-functor $F : B\pi_1(G, e) \to B^2H$ is given by an invertible endo-modification ω of $\mathrm{id}_F \otimes \mathrm{id}_F$, which is nothing else than a 2-cocycle. One now checks that a monoidal pseudo-natural transformations between two such functors is exactly a 2-coboundary, which shows the claim. Since we assumed that $H^2_{grp}(\pi_1(G, e), H) \simeq 0$, the original action ρ must be trivializable. □

Next, we show that the bicategory $\mathrm{Alg}_2^{\mathrm{fd}}$ of finite-dimensional, semi-simple algebras, bimodules and intertwiners, equipped with the monoidal structure given by the *direct sum* fulfills the conditions of Proposition 3.4.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $\mathbb{K}$ be an algebraically closed field. Let $\mathcal{C} = \mathrm{Alg}_2^{\mathrm{fd}}$ be the bicategory where objects are given by finite-dimensional, semi-simple algebras, equipped with the monoidal structure given by the direct sum. Then, $\mathrm{Aut}^{\otimes}(\mathcal{C})$ is equivalent to $B^2\mathbb{K}^*$.*

Proof. Let $F : \mathrm{Alg}_2^{\mathrm{fd}} \to \mathrm{Alg}_2^{\mathrm{fd}}$ be a weak monoidal 2-equivalence, and let A be a finite-dimensional, semi-simple algebra. Then A is isomorphic to a direct sum of matrix algebras. Calculating up to Morita equivalence and using that F has to preserve the single simple object $\mathbb{K}$ of Alg_2, we have

$$F(A) \cong F\left(\bigoplus_i M_{n_i}(\mathbb{K})\right) \cong \bigoplus_i F\left(M_{n_i}(\mathbb{K})\right) \cong \bigoplus_i F(\mathbb{K}) \cong \bigoplus_i \mathbb{K} \cong \bigoplus_i M_{n_i}(\mathbb{K}) \cong A. \tag{3.1}$$

Thus, the functor F is pseudo-naturally isomorphic to the identity functor on $\mathrm{Alg}_2^{\mathrm{fd}}$.
Now, let $\eta : F \to G$ be a monoidal pseudo-natural isomorphism between two endofunctors of Alg_2. Since both F and G are pseudo-naturally isomorphic to the identity, we may consider instead a pseudo-natural isomorphism $\eta : \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{Alg}_2^{\mathrm{fd}}} \to \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{Alg}_2^{\mathrm{fd}}}$. We claim that up to an invertible modification, the 1-equivalence $\eta_A : A \to A$ must be given by the bimodule ${}_AA_A$, which is the identity 1-morphism on A in Alg_2. Indeed, since η_A is assumed to be linear, it suffices to consider the case of $A = M_n(\mathbb{K})$ and to take direct sums. It is well-known that the only simple modules of A are given by $\mathbb{K}^n$. Thus,

$$\eta_A = (\mathbb{K}^n)^{\alpha} \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} (\mathbb{K}^n)^{\beta}, \tag{3.2}$$

where α and β are multiplicities. Now, [HSV16, Lemma 2.6] ensures that these multiplicities are trivial, and thus we have $\eta_A = {}_AA_A$ up to an invertible intertwiner. This shows that up to invertible modifications, all 1-morphisms in $\mathrm{Aut}^{\otimes}(\mathrm{Alg}_2^{\mathrm{fd}})$ are identities.
Now, let m be an invertible endo-modification of the pseudo-natural transformation $\mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{Alg}_2^{\mathrm{fd}}}}$. Then, the component $m_A : {}_AA_A \to {}_AA_A$ is an element of $\mathrm{End}_{(A,A)}(A) \cong \mathbb{K}$. This show that the 2-morphisms of $\mathrm{Aut}^{\otimes}(\mathrm{Alg}_2^{\mathrm{fd}})$ stand in bijection to $\mathbb{K}^*$. □

Remark 3.6. Notice that the symmetric monoidal structure on $\mathrm{Alg}_2^{\mathrm{fd}}$ considered above is *not* the standard one, which is instead the one induced by the tensor product of algebras, and which is the monoidal structure relevant for the remainder of the paper.

The last lemmas imply the following

Lemma 3.7. *Any monoidal $SO(2)$-action on $\mathrm{Alg}_2^{\mathrm{fd}}$ equipped with the monoidal structure given by the direct sum is trivial.*

Proof. Since $\pi_1(SO(2), e) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$, and $H^2_{grp}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{K}^*) \simeq H^2(S^1, \mathbb{K}^*) \simeq 0$, Proposition 3.4 and Lemma 3.5 ensure that any monoidal $SO(2)$-action on $\mathrm{Alg}_2^{\mathrm{fd}}$ is trivializable. □

Corollary 3.8. *Since $\mathrm{Alg}_2^{\mathrm{fd}}$ and $\mathrm{Vect}_2^{\mathrm{fd}}$ are equivalent as additive categories, any $SO(2)$-action on $\mathrm{Vect}_2^{\mathrm{fd}}$ via linear morphisms is trivializable.*

Remark 3.9. The last two results rely on the fact that $\mathrm{Aut}^{\otimes}(\mathrm{Alg}_2^{\mathrm{fd}})$ and $\mathrm{Aut}^{\otimes}(\mathrm{Vect}_2^{\mathrm{fd}})$ are 1-connected as additive categories. This is due to the fact that fully-dualizable part of either Alg_2 or Vect_2 is semi-simple. An example in which the conditions in Proposition 3.4 do *not* hold is provided by the bicategory of Landau-Ginzburg models.

4. Computing homotopy fixed points

In this Section, we explicitly compute the bicategory of homotopy fixed points of an $SO(2)$-action which is induced by an arbitrary pseudo-natural equivalence of the identity functor of an arbitrary bicategory $\mathcal{C}$. Recall that a G-action on a bicategory $\mathcal{C}$ is a monoidal 2-functor $\rho : \Pi_2(G) \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$, or equivalently a trifunctor $\rho : B\Pi_2(G) \to \mathrm{Bicat}$ with $\rho(*) = \mathcal{C}$. The bicategory of homotopy fixed points $\mathcal{C}^G$ is then given by the tri-limit of this trifunctor.
In Bicat, the tricategory of bicategory, this trilimit can be computed as follows: if $\Delta : B\Pi_2(G) \to \mathrm{Bicat}$ is the constant functor assigning to the one object $*$ the terminal bicategory with one object, the trilimit of the action functor ρ is given by

$$\mathcal{C}^G := \lim \rho = \mathrm{Nat}(\Delta, \rho), \tag{4.1}$$

the bicategory of tri-transformations between ρ and Δ. This definition is explicitly spelled out in [HSV16, Remark 3.11]. We begin by defining an $SO(2)$-action on an arbitrary symmetric monoidal bicategory, starting from a pseudo-natural transformation of the identity functor on $\mathcal{C}$.

Definition 4.1. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a symmetric monoidal bicategory, and let $\alpha : \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \to \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{C}}$ be a pseudo-natural equivalence of the identity functor on $\mathcal{C}$. Since $\Pi_2(SO(2))$ is equivalent to the bicategory with one object, $\mathbb{Z}$ worth of morphisms, and only identity 2-morphisms, we may define an $SO(2)$-action $\rho : \Pi_2(SO(2)) \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$ by the following data:*

- *For every group element $g \in SO(2)$, we assign the identity functor of $\mathcal{C}$.*
- *For the generator $1 \in \mathbb{Z}$, we assign the pseudo-natural transformation of the identity functor given by α.*
- *Since there are only identity 2-morphisms in $\mathbb{Z}$, we have to assign these to identity 2-morphisms in $\mathcal{C}$.*
- *For composition of 1-morphisms, we assign the identity modifications $\rho(a+b) := \rho(a) \circ \rho(b)$.*
- *In order to make ρ into a monoidal 2-functor, we have to assign additional data which we can choose to be trivial. In detail, we set $\rho(g \otimes h) := \rho(g) \otimes \rho(h)$, and $\rho(e) := \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{C}}$. Finally, we choose ω, γ and δ as in* [HSV16, Remark 3.8] *to be identities.*

Our main example is the action of the Serre automorphism on the core of fully-dualizable objects:

Example 4.2. If $\mathcal{C}$ is a symmetric monoidal bicategory, consider $\mathscr{K}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{fd}})$, the core of the fully-dualizable objects of $\mathcal{C}$. By Proposition 2.8, the Serre automorphism defines a pseudo-natural equivalence of the identity functor on $\mathscr{K}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{fd}})$. By Definition 4.1, we obtain an $SO(2)$-action on $\mathscr{K}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{fd}})$, which we denote by ρ^S.

The next theorem computes the bicategory of homotopy fixed points $\mathcal{C}^{SO(2)}$ of the action in Definition 4.1. This theorem generalizes [HSV16, Theorem 4.1], which only computes the bicategory of homotopy fixed points of the *trivial* $SO(2)$-action.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a symmetric monoidal bicategory, and let $\alpha : \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \to \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{C}}$ be a pseudo-natural equivalence of the identity functor on $\mathcal{C}$. Let ρ be the $SO(2)$-action on $\mathcal{C}$ as in Definition 4.1. Then, the bicategory of homotopy fixed points $\mathcal{C}^G$ is equivalent to the bicategory with*

- *objects: (c, λ) where c is an object of $\mathcal{C}$ and $\lambda : \alpha_c \to \mathrm{id}_c$ is a 2-isomorphism,*
- *1-morphisms $(c, \lambda) \to (c', \lambda')$ in $\mathcal{C}^G$ are given by 1-morphisms $f : c \to c'$ in $\mathcal{C}$, so that the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \alpha_{c'} \circ f & \xleftarrow{\alpha_f} & f \circ \alpha_c & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_f * \lambda} & f \circ \mathrm{id}_c \\ {\scriptstyle \lambda' * \mathrm{id}_f}\downarrow & & & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{id}_c \circ f & & \longrightarrow & & f \end{array} \tag{4.2}$$

  commutes,

- *2-morphisms of $\mathcal{C}^G$ are given by 2-morphisms in $\mathcal{C}$.*

Proof. In order to prove the theorem, we need to explicitly unpack the definition of the bicategory of homotopy fixed points $\mathcal{C}^G$. This is done in [HSV16, Remark 3.11 - 3.14]. In the following, we will use the notation introduced in [HSV16].
The idea of the proof is to show that the forgetful functor which on objects of $\mathcal{C}^G$ forgets the data Θ, Π and M is an equivalence of bicategories. In order to show this, we need to analyze the bicategory of homotopy fixed points. We start with the objects of $\mathcal{C}^G$.
By definition, a homotopy fixed point of this action consists of

- An object $c \in \mathcal{C}$,
- A 1-equivalence $\Theta : c \to c$,
- For every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, an invertible 2-morphism $\Theta_n : \alpha_c^n \circ \Theta \to \Theta \circ \mathrm{id}_c$ so that (Θ, Θ_n) fulfill the axioms of a pseudo-natural transformation,
- A 2-isomorphism $\Pi : \Theta \circ \Theta \to \Theta$ which obeys the modification square,
- Another 2-isomorphism $M : \Theta \to \mathrm{id}_c$

so that the following equations hold: Equation 3.18 of [HSV16] demands that

$$\Pi \circ (\mathrm{id}_\Theta * \Pi) = \Pi \circ (\Pi * \mathrm{id}_\Theta) \tag{4.3}$$

whereas Equation 3.19 of [HSV16] demands that Π equals the composition

$$\Theta \circ \Theta \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_\Theta * M} \Theta \circ \mathrm{id}_c \cong \Theta \tag{4.4}$$

and finally Equation 3.20 of [HSV16] tells us that Π must also be equal to the composition

$$\Theta \circ \Theta \xrightarrow{M*\mathrm{id}_\Theta} \mathrm{id}_c \circ \Theta \cong \Theta. \tag{4.5}$$

Hence Π is fully specified by M. An explicit calculation using the two equations above then confirms that Equation (4.3) is automatically fulfilled. Indeed, by composing with Π^{-1} from the right, it suffices to show that $\mathrm{id}_\Theta * \Pi = \Pi * \mathrm{id}_\Theta$. Suppose for simplicity that $\mathcal{C}$ is a strict 2-category. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{id}_\Theta * \Pi &= \mathrm{id}_\Theta * (M * \mathrm{id}_\Theta) && \text{by equation (4.5)} \\ &= (\mathrm{id}_\Theta * M) * \mathrm{id}_\Theta \\ &= \Pi * \mathrm{id}_\Theta && \text{by equation (4.4).} \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

Adding appropriate associators shows that this is true in a general bicategory.
Note that by using the modification M, the 2-morphism $\Theta_n : \alpha_n^c \to \Theta \circ \mathrm{id}_c$ is equivalent to a 2-morphism $\lambda_n : \alpha_c \to \mathrm{id}_c$. Here, α_c^n is the n-times composition of 1-morphism α_c. Indeed, define λ_n by setting

$$\lambda_n := \left(\alpha_c \cong \alpha_c \circ \mathrm{id}_c \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_{\alpha_c} * M^{-1}} \alpha_c \circ \Theta \xrightarrow{\Theta_n} \Theta \circ \mathrm{id}_c \cong \Theta \xrightarrow{M} \mathrm{id}_c \right). \tag{4.7}$$

In a strict 2-category, the fact that Θ is a pseudo-natural transformation requires that $\lambda_0 = \mathrm{id}_c$ and that $\lambda_n = \lambda_1 * \cdots * \lambda_1$. In a bicategory, similar equations hold by adding coherence morphisms. Thus, λ_n is fully determined by λ_1. In order to simplify notation, we set $\lambda := \lambda_1 : \alpha_c \to \mathrm{id}_c$.
A 1-morphism of homotopy fixed points $(c, \Theta, \Theta_n, \Pi, M) \to (c', \Theta', \Theta'_n, \Pi', M')$ consists of:

- a 1-morphism $f : c \to c'$,
- an invertible 2-morphism $m : f \circ \Theta \to \Theta' \circ f$ which fulfills the modification square. Note that m is equivalent to a 2-isomorphism $m : f \to f'$ which can be seen by using the 2-morphism M.

The condition due to Equation 3.24 of [HSV16] demands that the following 2-isomorphism

$$f \circ \Theta \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_f * M} f \circ \mathrm{id}_c \cong f \tag{4.8}$$

is equal to the 2-isomorphism

$$f \circ \Theta \xrightarrow{m} \Theta' \circ f \xrightarrow{M' * \mathrm{id}_f} \mathrm{id}_{c'} \circ f \cong f \tag{4.9}$$

and thus is equivalent to the equation

$$m = \left(f \circ \Theta \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_f * M} f \circ \mathrm{id}_c \cong f \cong \mathrm{id}_{c'} \circ f \xrightarrow{M'^{-1} * \mathrm{id}_f} \Theta' \circ f \right) \tag{4.10}$$

Thus, m is fully determined by M and M'. The condition due to Equation 3.23 of [HSV16] reads

$$m \circ (\mathrm{id}_f * \Pi) = (\Pi' * \mathrm{id}_f) \circ (\mathrm{id}_{\Theta'} * m) \circ (m * \mathrm{id}_\Theta) \tag{4.11}$$

and is automatically satisfied, as an explicit calculation in [HSV16] confirms. Now, it suffices to look at the modification square of m, in Equation 3.25 of [HSV16]. This condition is equivalent to the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \alpha_{c'} \circ f \circ \Theta & \xleftarrow{\alpha_f * \mathrm{id}_\Theta} & f \circ \alpha_c \circ \Theta & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_f * \Theta_1} & f \circ \Theta \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{id}_{\alpha_{c'}} * m} & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle m} \\ \alpha_{c'} \circ \Theta' \circ f & & \xrightarrow[\Theta'_1 * \mathrm{id}_f]{} & & \Theta' \circ f \end{array} \tag{4.12}$$

Substituting m as in Equation (4.10) and Θ_1 for $\lambda := \lambda_1$ as defined in Equation (4.7), one confirms that this diagram commutes if and only if the diagram in Equation (4.2) commutes.
If (f, m) and (g, n) are 1-morphisms of homotopy fixed points, a 2-morphism of homotopy fixed points consists of a 2-isomorphism $\beta : f \to g$ in $\mathcal{C}$. The condition coming from Equation 3.26 of [HSV16] then demands that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f \circ \Theta & \xrightarrow{m} & \Theta' \circ f \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \beta * \mathrm{id}_\Theta} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{id}_{\Theta'} * \beta} \\ g \circ \Theta & \xrightarrow[n]{} & \Theta' \circ g \end{array} \tag{4.13}$$

commutes. Using the fact that both m and n are uniquely specified by M and M', one quickly confirms that this diagram commutes automatically.

Our detailed analysis of the bicategory $\mathcal{C}^G$ shows that the forgetful functor which forgets the data Θ, M, and Π on objects and assigns Θ_1 to λ, which forgets the data m on 1-morphisms, and which is the identity on 2-morphisms is an equivalence of bicategories. □

Corollary 4.4. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a symmetric monoidal bicategory, and consider the $SO(2)$-action of the Serre automorphism on $\mathscr{K}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{fd}})$ as in Example 4.2. Then, the bicategory of homotopy fixed points $\mathscr{K}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{fd}})^{SO(2)}$ is equivalent to a bicategory where*

- *objects are given by pairs (X, λ_X) with X a fully-dualizable object of $\mathcal{C}$ and $\lambda_X : S_X \to \mathrm{id}_X$ is a 2-isomorphism which trivializes the Serre automorphism,*
- *1-morphisms are given by 1-equivalences $f : X \to Y$ in $\mathcal{C}$, so that the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} S_Y \circ f & \xleftarrow{S_f} & f \circ S_X & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_f * \lambda_X} & f \circ \mathrm{id}_X \\ {\scriptstyle \lambda_Y * \mathrm{id}_f}\downarrow & & & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{id}_X \circ f & & \longrightarrow & & f \end{array} \tag{4.14}$$

  commutes, and
- *2-morphisms are given by 2-isomorphisms in $\mathcal{C}$.*

Remark 4.5. Recall that we have defined the bicategory of homotopy fixed points $\mathcal{C}^G$ as the tri-limit of the action considered as a trifunctor $\rho : B\Pi_2(G) \to \mathrm{Bicat}$. Since we only consider symmetric monoidal bicategories, we actually obtain an action with values in SymMonBicat, the tricategory of symmetric monoidal bicategories. It would be interesting to compute the limit of the action in this tricategory. We expect that this trilimit computed in SymMonBicat is given by $\mathcal{C}^G$ as a bicategory, with the symmetric monoidal structure induced by the symmetric monoidal structure of $\mathcal{C}$.

Remark 4.6. By [Dav11], the action via the Serre automorphism on $\mathscr{K}(\mathrm{Alg}_2^{\mathrm{fd}})$ is trivializable. The category of homotopy fixed points $\mathscr{K}(\mathrm{Alg}_2^{\mathrm{fd}})^{SO(2)}$ is then equivalent to the bigroupoid of symmetric, semi-simple Frobenius algebras.
Similarly, the action of the Serre automorphism on Vect_2 is trivializable. The bicategory of homotopy fixed points of this action is equivalent to the bicategory of finite Calabi-Yau categories, cf. [HSV16].

5. The 2-dimensional framed bordism bicategory

In this Section, we introduce a skeleton of the framed bordism bicategory $\mathrm{Cob}_{2,1,0}^{\mathrm{fr}}$: this symmetric monoidal bicategory $\mathbb{F}_{cfd}$ is the free bicategory of a coherent fully-dual pair as introduced in [Pst14, Definition 3.13].
Using this presentation, we define a non-trivial $SO(2)$-action on this skeleton. If $\mathcal{C}$ is an arbitrary symmetric monoidal bicategory, the action on $\mathbb{F}_{cfd}$ will induce an action on the functor bicategory $\mathrm{Fun}_{\otimes}(\mathbb{F}_{cfd}, \mathcal{C})$ of symmetric monoidal functors. Using the Cobordism Hypothesis for framed manifolds, which has been proven in the bicategorical framework in [Pst14], we obtain an $SO(2)$-action on $\mathscr{K}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{fd}})$. We show that this induced action coming from the framed bordism bicategory is exactly the action given by the Serre automorphism.
We begin by recalling the definition of $\mathbb{F}_{cfd}$.

Definition 5.1. *The symmetric monoidal bicategory $\mathbb{F}_{cfd}$ consists of*

- *2 generating objects L and R,*
- *4 generating 1-morphisms, given by*
  - *a 1-morphism* $\mathrm{coev} : 1 \to R \otimes L$, *which we write as* ,
  - $\mathrm{ev} : L \otimes R \to 1$ *which we write as* ,
  - *a 1-morphism $q : L \to L$,*
  - *another 1-morphism $q^{-1} : L \to L$,*
- *12 generating 2-cells given by*

– *isomorphisms* α, β, α^{-1} *and* β^{-1} *as in Definition 2.1, which in pictorial form are given as follows:*

(5.1)

– *isomorphisms* $\psi : qq^{-1} \cong \mathrm{id}_L : \psi^{-1}$ *and* $\phi : q^{-1}q \cong \mathrm{id}_L : \phi^{-1}$
– *2-cells* $\mu_e : \mathrm{id}_1 \to \mathrm{ev} \circ \mathrm{ev}^L$ *and* $\varepsilon_e : \mathrm{ev}^L \circ \mathrm{ev} \to \mathrm{id}_{L\otimes R}$, *where* $\mathrm{ev}^L := \tau \circ (\mathrm{id}_R \otimes q^{-1}) \circ \mathrm{coev}$ *which in pictorial form are given as follows:*

(5.2)



– *2-cells* $\mu_c : \mathrm{id}_{R\otimes L} \to \mathrm{coev} \circ \mathrm{coev}^L$ *and* $\varepsilon_c : \mathrm{coev}^L \circ \mathrm{coev} \to \mathrm{id}_1$, *where* $\mathrm{coev}^L := \mathrm{ev} \circ (q \otimes \mathrm{id}_R) \circ \tau$
which in pictorial form are given as follows:

(5.3)



so that the following relations hold:

- α *and* α^{-1}, β *and* β^{-1}, ϕ *and* ϕ^{-1}, ψ *and* ψ^{-1} *are inverses to each other,*
- μ_e *and* ε_e *satisfy the two Zorro equations, which in pictorial form demand that the following composition of 2-morphisms*

(5.4)



is equal to $\mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{ev}}$*, and that the following composition of 2-morphisms*

(5.5)



is equal to $\mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{ev}^L}$*.*

- μ_c *and* ε_c *satisfy the two Zorro equations, which in pictorial form demand that the composition*

(5.6)



is equal to $\mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{coev}}$*, and the composition of the following 2-morphisms*

(5.7)



is equal to $\mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{coev}^L}$*.*

- ϕ *and* ψ *satisfy triangle identities,*
- *the cusp-counit equations in figure 5 and 6 on p.33 of* [Pst14] *are satisfied,*
- *the swallowtail equations in figure 3 and 4 on p.15 of* [Pst14] *are satisfied.*

5.1. **Action on the framed bordism bicategory.** We can now proceed to construct an $SO(2)$-action on $\mathbb{F}_{cfd}$. This action will be vital for the remainder of the paper.

By Definition 4.1 it suffices to construct a pseudo-natural equivalence of the identity functor on $\mathbb{F}_{cfd}$ in order to construct an $SO(2)$-action. This pseudo-natural transformation is given as follows:

Definition 5.2. *Let $\mathbb{F}_{cfd}$ be the free symmetric monoidal bicategory on a coherent fully-dual object as in Definition 5.1. We construct a pseudo-natural equivalence $\alpha : \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{F}_{cfd}} \to \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{F}_{cfd}}$ of the identity functor on $\mathbb{F}_{cfd}$ as follows:*

- *For every object c of $\mathbb{F}_{cfd}$, we need to provide a 1-equivalence $\alpha_c : c \to c$.*
  - *For the object L of $\mathbb{F}_{cfd}$, we define $\alpha_L := q : L \to L$,*
  - *for the object R of $\mathbb{F}_{cfd}$, we set $\alpha_R := (q^{-1})^*$, which in pictorial form is given by*

(5.8) $(q^{-1})^* :=$



- *for every 1-morphism $f : c \to d$ in $\mathbb{F}_{cfd}$, we need to provide a 2-isomorphism*

(5.9) $$\alpha_f : f \circ \alpha_c \to \alpha_d \circ f.$$

  - *For the 1-morphism $q : L \to L$ of $\mathbb{F}_{cfd}$ we define the 2-isomorphism $\alpha_q := \mathrm{id}_{q \circ q}$.*
  - *For the 1-morphism $q^{-1} : L \to L$ we define the 2-isomorphism*

(5.10) $$\alpha_{q^{-1}} := \left(q^{-1} \circ q \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathrm{id}_L \xrightarrow{\psi^{-1}} q \circ q^{-1} \right).$$

  - *For the evaluation $\mathrm{ev} : L \otimes R \to 1$, we define the 2-isomorphism α_{ev} to be the following composition:*

(5.11)



  - *For the coevaluation $\mathrm{coev} : 1 \to R \otimes L$, we define the 2-isomorphism α_{coev} to be the composition*

(5.12)



One now checks that this defines a pseudo-natural transformation of $\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{F}_{cfd}}$. Using Definition 4.1 gives us a non-trivial $SO(2)$-action on $\mathbb{F}_{cfd}$.

Remark 5.3. Note that the $SO(2)$-action on $\mathbb{F}_{cfd}$ does *not* send generators to generators: for instance, the 1-morphism $(q^{-1})^*$ in Equation (5.8) is not part of the generating data of $\mathbb{F}_{cfd}$.

Remark 5.4. Notice that the pseudo-natural equivalence $\alpha : \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{F}_{cfd}} \to \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{F}_{cfd}}$ constructed in Definition 5.2 is a *symmetric monoidal* pseudo-natural transformation. This follows from the fact that $\mathbb{F}_{cfd}$ is the *free* symmetric monoidal bicategory generated by a coherent fully-dual pair. Thus, we obtain an $SO(2)$-action on $\mathbb{F}_{cfd}$ via symmetric monoidal morphisms.

5.2. **Induced action on functor categories.** Starting from the action defined on $\mathbb{F}_{cfd}$, we induce an action on the bicategory of functors $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathbb{F}_{cfd}, \mathcal{C})$ for an arbitrary bicategory $\mathcal{C}$. The construction of the induced action on the bicategory of functors is a general construction. We provide details in the following.

Definition 5.5. *Let $\rho : \Pi_2(G) \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$ be a G-action on a bicategory $\mathcal{C}$, and let $\mathcal{D}$ be another bicategory. The G-action $\tilde{\rho} : \Pi_2(G) \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}))$ induced by ρ is defined as follows:*

- *On objects $g \in G$, we define an endofunctor $\tilde{\rho}(g)$ of $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ on objects F on $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ by $\tilde{\rho}(g)(F) := F \circ \rho(g^{-1})$. If $\alpha : F \to G$ is a 1-morphism in $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$, we define*

$$\tilde{\rho}(g)(\alpha) := \begin{array}{ccc} F\rho(g^{-1})c & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{\rho(g^{-1})(c)}} & G\rho(g^{-1})c \\ {\scriptstyle F\rho(g^{-1})(f)}\downarrow & \swarrow\!\!\swarrow {\scriptstyle \alpha_{\rho(g^{-1})(f)}} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle G\rho(g^{-1})(f)} \\ F\rho(g^{-1})d & \xrightarrow[\alpha_{\rho(g^{-1})(d)}]{} & G\rho(g^{-1})d \end{array} \tag{5.13}$$

  If $m : \alpha \to \beta$ is a 2-morphism in $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$, the value of $\tilde{\rho}(\gamma)$ is given by

$$\tilde{\rho}(\gamma)(m)_x := m_{\rho(g^{-1})(x)}. \tag{5.14}$$

- *on 1-morphisms $\gamma : g \to h$ of $\Pi_2(G)$, we define a 1-morphism $\tilde{\rho}(\gamma)$ in $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}))$ between the two endofunctors $F \mapsto F \circ \rho(g^{-1})$ and $F \mapsto F \circ \rho(h^{-1})$ of $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$.*

  Explicitly, this means:

  – *For each 2-functor $F : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$, we need to provide a pseudo-natural transformation $\tilde{\rho}(\gamma)_F : F \circ \rho(g^{-1}) \to F \circ \rho(h^{-1})$ which we define via the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F\rho(g^{-1})x & \xrightarrow{F(\rho(\gamma^{-1})_x)} & F\rho(h^{-1})x \\ {\scriptstyle F\rho(g^{-1})(f)}\downarrow & \swarrow\!\!\swarrow {\scriptstyle F(\rho(\gamma^{-1})_f)} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle F\rho(h^{-1})(f)} \\ F\rho(g^{-1})y & \xrightarrow[F(\rho(\gamma^{-1})_y)]{} & F\rho(h^{-1})y \end{array} \tag{5.15}$$

  Here, γ^{-1} is the "inverse" path of γ given by $t \mapsto \gamma(t)^{-1}$, and $f : x \to y$ is a 1-morphism in $\mathcal{C}$.

  – *For every pseudo-natural transformation $\alpha : F \to G$, we need to provide a modification $\tilde{\rho}(\gamma)_\alpha$ in the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{\rho}(g)(F) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\rho}(\gamma)_F} & \tilde{\rho}(h)(F) \\ {\scriptstyle \tilde{\rho}(g)(\alpha)}\downarrow & \swarrow\!\!\swarrow {\scriptstyle \tilde{\rho}(\gamma)_\alpha} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tilde{\rho}(h)(\alpha)} \\ \tilde{\rho}(g)(G) & \xrightarrow[\tilde{\rho}(\gamma)_G]{} & \tilde{\rho}(h)(G) \end{array} \tag{5.16}$$

  which we define by

$$\tilde{\rho}(\gamma)_\alpha := \alpha^{-1}_{\rho(\gamma^{-1})_x}. \tag{5.17}$$

- *For the 2-morphisms in $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}))$ we proceed in a similar fashion: if $m : \gamma \to \gamma'$ is a 2-track, we have to provide a 2-morphism $\tilde{\rho}(m) : \tilde{\rho}(\gamma) \to \tilde{\rho}(\gamma')$ which can be done by explicitly writing down diagrams as above.*

The rest of the data of a monoidal functor $\tilde{\rho}$ is induced from the data of the monoidal functor ρ.

For $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ symmetric monoidal bicategories, the bicategory of symmetric monoidal functors $\mathrm{Fun}_\otimes(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ acquires a monoidal structure by "pointwise evaluation" of functors. Such a monoidal structure is also symmetric, see [SP09]. The following result is straightforward.

Lemma 5.6. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ be symmetric monoidal bicategories, and let ρ be a monoidal action of a group G on $\mathcal{C}$. Then ρ induces a monoidal action $\tilde{\rho} : \Pi_2(G) \to \mathrm{Aut}^\otimes(\mathrm{Fun}_\otimes(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}))$.*

Example 5.7. Our main example for induced actions is the $SO(2)$-action on $\mathbb{F}_{cfd}$ as in Definition 5.2. This action only depends on a pseudo-natural equivalence α of the identity functor on $\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{F}_{cfd}}$. Consequently, the induced action on $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathbb{F}_{cfd}, \mathcal{C})$ also only depends on a pseudo-natural equivalence of the identity functor on $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathbb{F}_{cfd}, \mathcal{C})$. Using the definition above, we construct this induced pseudo-natural equivalence $\tilde{\alpha}$ as follows.

- For every 2-functor $F : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$, we need to provide a pseudo-natural equivalence $\tilde{\alpha}_F : F \to F$, which is given by the diagram

$$\tilde{\alpha}_F := \quad \begin{array}{ccc} Fx & \xrightarrow{F(\alpha_x^{-1})} & Fx \\ {\scriptstyle F(f)}\downarrow & \swarrow_{F(\alpha_f^{-1})} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle F(f)} \\ Fy & \xrightarrow[F(\alpha^{-1})_y]{} & Fy \end{array} \tag{5.18}$$

- for every pseudo-natural transformation $\beta : F \to G$, we need to give a modification $\tilde{\alpha}_\beta$, which we define by the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Fx & \xrightarrow{F(\alpha_x^{-1})} & Fx \\ {\scriptstyle \beta_x}\downarrow & \swarrow_{\beta^{-1}_{(\alpha_x^{-1})}} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \beta_x} \\ Gx & \xrightarrow[G(\alpha^{-1})_x]{} & Gx \end{array} \tag{5.19}$$

This defines a pseudo-natural equivalence of the identity functor on $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathbb{F}_{cfd}, \mathcal{C})$. By Definition 4.1, we obtain an $SO(2)$-action on $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathbb{F}_{cfd}, \mathcal{C})$. Note that $\mathbb{F}_{cfd}$ is even a *symmetric monoidal* bicategory. The $SO(2)$-action on $\mathbb{F}_{cfd}$ of Definition 5.2 is via symmetric monoidal homomorphisms by Remark 5.4. Hence, if $\mathcal{C}$ is also symmetric monoidal, then Lemma 5.6 provides a monoidal action on $\mathrm{Fun}_\otimes(\mathbb{F}_{cfd}, \mathcal{C})$.

5.3. **Induced action on the core of fully-dualizable objects.** In this subsection, we compute the $SO(2)$-action on the core of fully-dualizable objects coming from the $SO(2)$-action on $\mathbb{F}_{cfd}$. Starting from the $SO(2)$-action on $\mathbb{F}_{cfd}$ as by Definition 5.2, we have shown in the previous subsection how to induce an $SO(2)$-action on the bicategory of symmetric monoidal functors $\mathrm{Fun}_\otimes(\mathbb{F}_{cfd}, \mathcal{C})$ for $\mathcal{C}$ some symmetric monoidal bicategory. By the Cobordism Hypothesis for framed manifolds, we obtain an induced $SO(2)$-action on $\mathscr{K}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{fd}})$. More precisely, denote by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{ev}_L : \mathrm{Fun}_\otimes(\mathbb{F}_{cfd}, \mathcal{C}) &\to \mathscr{K}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{fd}}) \\ Z &\mapsto Z(L) \end{aligned} \tag{5.20}$$

the evaluation map. The Cobordism Hypothesis for framed manifolds in two dimensions [Pst14, Lur09] states that ev_L is an equivalence of symmetric monoidal bicategories. Hence, the composition of the $SO(2)$-action on $\mathrm{Fun}_\otimes(\mathbb{F}_{cfd}, \mathcal{C})$ and (the inverse of) ev_L provides an $SO(2)$-action on $\mathscr{K}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{fd}})$. The next proposition shows that this action is equivalent to the action ρ^S induced by the Serre automorphism which is illustrated in Example 4.2.

Proposition 5.8. *Let ρ be the $SO(2)$-action on $\mathbb{F}_{cfd}$ given in Definition 5.2, and let $\mathcal{C}$ be a symmetric monoidal bicategory. By Definition 5.5, we obtain a monoidal $SO(2)$-action on $\mathrm{Fun}_\otimes(\mathbb{F}_{cfd}, \mathcal{C})$. Then, the monoidal $SO(2)$-action induced by the evaluation in Equation (5.20) on $\mathscr{K}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{fd}})$ is equivalent to ρ^S.*

Proof. Let

$$\rho : \Pi_2(SO(2)) \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Fun}_\otimes(\mathbb{F}_{cfd}, \mathcal{C})) \tag{5.21}$$

be the $SO(2)$-action on the bicategory of symmetric monoidal functors $\mathrm{Fun}_\otimes(\mathbb{F}_{cfd}, \mathcal{C})$ as in Example 5.7. This action only depends on a pseudo-natural transformation α on the identity functor on $\mathrm{Fun}_\otimes(\mathbb{F}_{cfd}, \mathcal{C})$. By [Pst14], the 2-functor in Equation (5.20) which evaluates a framed field theory on the object L is an equivalence of bicategories. Thus, we obtain an $SO(2)$-action ρ' on $\mathscr{K}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{fd}})$. This action is given as follows. By Definition 4.1, we only need to provide a pseudo-natural transformation of the identity functor of $\mathscr{K}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{fd}})$. In order to write down this pseudo-natural transformation, note that the functor

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Fun}_\otimes(\mathbb{F}_{cfd}, \mathcal{C})) &\to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathscr{K}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{fd}})) \\ F &\mapsto \mathrm{ev}_L \circ F \circ \mathrm{ev}_L^{-1} \end{aligned} \tag{5.22}$$

is an equivalence. Hence, the induced pseudo-natural transformation of $\mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{K}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{fd}})}$ is given as follows:

- For each fully-dualizable object c of $\mathcal{C}$, we assign the 1-morphism $\alpha'_c : c \to c$ defined by

$$\alpha'_c := \mathrm{ev}_L\left(\alpha_{\left(\mathrm{ev}_L^{-1}(c)\right)}\right) \tag{5.23}$$

- for each 1-equivalence $f : c \to d$ between fully-dualizable objects of $\mathcal{C}$, we define a 2-isomorphism $\alpha'_f : f \circ \alpha'_c \to \alpha'_d \circ f$ by the formula

$$\alpha'_f := \mathrm{ev}_L\left(\alpha_{\left(\mathrm{ev}_L^{-1}(f)\right)}\right). \tag{5.24}$$

Here, α is the pseudo-natural transformation as in Example 5.7. In order to see that α'_c is given by the Serre automorphism of the fully-dualizable object c, note that the 1-morphism $q : L \to L$ of $\mathbb{F}_{cfd}$ is mapped to the Serre automorphism $S_{Z(L)}$ by the equivalence in Equation (5.20). □

Corollary 5.9. *Let ρ be the $SO(2)$-action on $\mathbb{F}_{cfd}$ given in Definition 5.2, and let $\mathcal{C}$ be a symmetric monoidal bicategory. Consider the $SO(2)$-action ρ^S on $\mathscr{K}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{fd}})$ induced by the Serre automorphism. Then the evaluation morphism ev_L induces an equivalence of bicategories*

$$\mathrm{Fun}_{\otimes}(\mathbb{F}_{cfd}, \mathcal{C})^{SO(2)} \to \mathscr{K}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{fd}})^{SO(2)}. \tag{5.25}$$

Proof. By Proposition 5.8, the equivalence of Equation (5.20) is $SO(2)$-equivariant. Thus, it induces an equivalence on homotopy fixed points, cf. [Hes16, Definition 5.3] for an explicit description. It is also possible to construct this equivalence directly: by theorem 4.3, the bicategory of homotopy fixed points $\mathrm{Fun}_{\otimes}(\mathbb{F}_{cfd}, \mathcal{C})^{SO(2)}$ is equivalent to the bicategory where

- objects are given by symmetric monoidal functors $Z : \mathbb{F}_{cfd} \to \mathcal{C}$, together with a modification $\lambda_Z : \tilde{\alpha}_Z \to \mathrm{id}_Z$. Explicitly, this means: if α is the endotransformation of the identity functor of $\mathbb{F}_{cfd}$ as in Definition 5.2, we obtain two 2-isomorphisms in $\mathcal{C}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_L &: Z(q^{-1}) \to \mathrm{id}_{Z(L)} \\ \lambda_R &: Z(((q^{-1})^*)^{-1}) \to \mathrm{id}_{Z(R)} \end{aligned} \tag{5.26}$$

  which are compatible with evaluation and coevaluation,
- 1-morphisms are given by symmetric monoidal pseudo-natural transformations $\mu : Z \to Z'$, so that the analogue of the diagram in Equation (4.2) commutes,
- 2-morphisms are given by symmetric monoidal modifications.

Now notice that $Z(q)$ is precisely the Serre automorphism of the object $Z(L)$. Thus, λ_L provides a trivialization of (the inverse of) the Serre automorphism. Applying theorem 4.3 again to the action of the Serre automorphism on the core of fully-dualizable objects shows that the functor $Z \mapsto (Z(L), \lambda_L)$ is an equivalence of homotopy fixed point bicategories. □

6. Invertible Field Theories

In the section, we consider the case of 2-dimensional oriented *invertible* topological field theories: such theories are in many ways easier to describe than arbitrary TQFTs, and play an important role in condensed matter physics and homotopy theory, as suggested in [Fre14a, Fre14b].
Denote with $\mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{C})$ the *Picard groupoid* of a symmetric monoidal bicategory $\mathcal{C}$: it is defined as the maximal subgroupoid of $\mathcal{C}$ where the objects are invertible with respect to the monoidal structure of $\mathcal{C}$. Recall that $\mathrm{Fun}_{\otimes}(\mathrm{Cob}_{2,1,0}, \mathcal{C})$ is equipped with a monoidal structure which is defined pointwise.

Definition 6.1. *An invertible framed TQFT with values in $\mathcal{C}$ is an invertible object in* $\mathrm{Fun}_{\otimes}(\mathrm{Cob}^{\mathrm{fr}}_{2,1,0}, \mathcal{C})$. *The space of invertible framed TQFTs with values in $\mathcal{C}$ is given by* $\mathrm{Pic}(\mathrm{Fun}_{\otimes}(\mathrm{Cob}_{2,1,0}, \mathcal{C}))$.

Remark 6.2. Equivalently, an invertible TQFT assigns to the point in $\mathrm{Cob}_{2,1,0}$ an invertible object in $\mathcal{C}$, and to any 1- and 2-dimensional manifold it assigns invertible 1- and 2-morphisms.

Since the Cobordism Hypothesis provides a *monoidal* equivalence between $\mathrm{Fun}_{\otimes}(\mathrm{Cob}_{2,1,0}, \mathcal{C})$ and $\mathscr{K}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{fd}})$, the space of invertible framed TQFTs is given by $\mathrm{Pic}(\mathscr{K}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{fd}}))$, since taking the Picard groupoid behaves well with respect to monoidal equivalences.
We begin by proving the following:

Lemma 6.3. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a symmetric monoidal bicategory. Then, there is an equivalence of symmetric monoidal bicategories*

$$\mathrm{Pic}(\mathscr{K}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{fd}})) \cong \mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{C}). \tag{6.1}$$

Proof. First note that $\mathscr{K}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{fd}})$ is a monoidal 2-groupoid, so there is an equivalence of bicategories $\mathrm{Pic}(\mathscr{K}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{fd}})) \cong \mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{fd}})$. Now, it suffices to show that every object X in $\mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{C})$ is already fully-dualizable. Indeed, denote the tensor-inverse of X by X^{-1}. By definition, we have 1-equivalences $X \otimes X^{-1} \cong 1$ and $1 \cong X^{-1} \otimes X$, which serve as evaluation and coevaluation. These maps may be promoted to adjoint 1-equivalences by [SP09, Proposition A.27]. Thus, the evaluation and coevaluation also admit adjoints, which suffices for fully-dualizability. □

Notice that given a monoidal bicategory $\mathcal{C}$, any monoidal autoequivalence of $\mathcal{C}$ preserves the Picard groupoid of $\mathcal{C}$, since it preserves invertibility of objects and (higher) morphisms. In particular, we have a monoidal 2-functor

$$\mathrm{Aut}^{\otimes}(\mathcal{C}) \to \mathrm{Aut}^{\otimes}(\mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{C})) \tag{6.2}$$

obtained by restriction. Since the $SO(2)$-action induced by the action on $\mathrm{Cob}_{2,1,0}$ is monoidal, it induces an action on $\mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{C})$. To proceed, we need the following

Lemma 6.4. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a symmetric monoidal bicategory such that $\mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{C})$ is monoidally equivalent to $B^2\mathbb{K}^*$. Then*

$$\mathrm{Aut}^{\otimes}(\mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{C})) \simeq \mathrm{Iso}(\mathbb{K}^*) \tag{6.3}$$

where the category on the right hand side is regarded as a discrete category.

Proof. Since $\mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq B^2\mathbb{K}^*$, we have to describe the Picard groupoid of the category of monoidal functors from $B^2\mathbb{K}^*$ to $B^2\mathbb{K}^*$. First, notice that the monoidal bicategory $B^2\mathbb{K}^*$ is computadic in the sense of [SP09]: it admits a presentation with only one object, only the identity 1-morphism, $\mathbb{K}^*$ as the set of 1-morphisms, and no relations between the 2-morphisms. The cofibrancy theorem in [SP09, Theorem 2.78] ensures that every monoidal 2-functor out of a computadic monoidal bicategory is equivalent to a *strict* monoidal functor. It is clear that *strict* monoidal auto-equivalences of $B^2\mathbb{K}$ are classified by $\mathrm{Iso}(\mathbb{K}^*)$ up to natural isomorphism. In order to see that the 1- and 2- morphisms of $\mathrm{Aut}^{\otimes}(B^2\mathbb{K}^*)$ are trivial, we use the cofibrancy theorem again to strictify monoidal pseudo-natural transformations. In detail, if $F, F' : B^2\mathbb{K}^* \to B^2\mathbb{K}^*$ are two weak monoidal 2-functors, and $\eta : F \to F'$ is a monoidal pseudo-natural equivalence, the confibrancy theorem ensures that η is equivalent to a *strict* monoidal pseudo-natural transformation, which means we may choose the data Π and M in [SP09, Figure 2.7] to be identity 2-morphisms. Thus, η is fully determined by a 1-morphism $\eta_* : F(*) \to F(*)$ in $B^2\mathbb{K}^*$ which has to be the identity, and by a 2-morphism η_{id_*} in $B^2\mathbb{K}^*$ filling the naturality square. This 2-morphism however is also fixed to be trivial by the unitality conditions of a monoidal pseudo-natural transformation in [SP09, Axiom MBTA2 and Axiom MBTA3]. We now come to the last layer of information: any monoidal modification between two monoidal pseudo-natural transformations is fixed to be the identity modification by the unitality requirement in [SP09, Axiom BMBM2]. □

Examples of symmetric monoidal bicategories satisfying the assumption of Lemma 6.4 are $\mathrm{Alg}_2^{\mathrm{fd}}$ and $\mathrm{Vect}_2^{\mathrm{fd}}$. In general cases, we have the following

Lemma 6.5. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a symmetric monoidal bicategory such that $\mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{C})$ is monoidally equivalent to $B^2\mathbb{K}^*$. Then any monoidal $SO(2)$-action on $\mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{C})$ is trivializable.*

Proof. Since we have monoidal equivalences $\Pi_2(SO(2)) \simeq B\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathrm{Aut}^{\otimes}(\mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{C})) \simeq \mathrm{Iso}(\mathbb{K}^*)$, monoidal actions correspond to monoidal 2-functors $B\mathbb{Z} \to \mathrm{Iso}(\mathbb{K}^*)$. Monoidality implies that the single object of $B\mathbb{Z}$ is sent to the identity isomorphism of $\mathbb{K}^*$, which correspond to the identity functor on $\mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{C})$. This forces the functor to be trivial on objects. It is clear that the action is also trivial on 1- and 2-morphisms. Since there are no nontrivial morphisms in $\mathrm{Iso}(\mathbb{K}^*)$, the monoidal structure on the action ρ must also be trivial. □

Finally, we need the following

Lemma 6.6. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a symmetric monoidal bicategory, and let ρ_S be the $SO(2)$-action on $\mathscr{K}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{fd}})$ by the Serre automorphism as in Example 4.2. Since this action is monoidal, it induces an action on $\mathrm{Pic}(\mathscr{K}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{fd}})) \cong \mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{C})$ by Lemma 6.3. We have then an equivalence of monoidal bicategories*

$$\mathrm{Pic}\left((\mathscr{K}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{fd}}))^{SO(2)}\right) \cong \mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{C})^{SO(2)}. \tag{6.4}$$

Proof. Theorem 4.3 allows us to compute the two bicategories of homotopy fixed points explicitly: we see that both bicategories have invertible objects X of $\mathcal{C}$, together with the choice of a trivialization of the Serre automorphism as objects. The 1-morphisms of both bicategories are given by 1-equivalences between invertible objects of $\mathcal{C}$, so that the diagram in equation (4.14) commutes, while 2-morphisms are given by 2-isomorphisms in $\mathcal{C}$. □

The implication of the above lemmas is the following: when $\mathcal{C}$ is a symmetric monoidal bicategory with $\mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{C}) \cong B^2\mathbb{K}^*$, the action of the Serre-automorphism on framed, invertible field theories with values in $\mathcal{C}$ is trivializable. Thus *all* framed invertible 2d TQFTs wih values in $\mathcal{C}$ can be turned into orientable ones.

7. Comments on Homotopy Orbits

So far, we have constructed an $SO(2)$-action on the bicategory $\mathbb{F}_{cfd}$. We have shown how the action on $\mathbb{F}_{cfd}$ induces an action on the bicategory of symmetric monoidal functors $\mathrm{Fun}_\otimes(\mathbb{F}_{cfd}, \mathcal{C})$, and that via the (framed) Cobordism Hypothesis the induced action on $\mathscr{K}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{fd}})$ for framed manifolds agrees with the action of the Serre automorphism. As a consequence, we are able to provide an equivalence of bicategories

$$\mathrm{Fun}_\otimes(\mathbb{F}_{cfd}, \mathcal{C})^{SO(2)} \to \mathscr{K}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{fd}})^{SO(2)} \tag{7.1}$$

in Corollary 5.9. We could then in principle deduce the Cobordism Hypothesis for oriented manifolds from 7.1, once we provide an equivalence of bicategories

$$\mathrm{Fun}_\otimes(\mathbb{F}_{cfd}, \mathcal{C})^{SO(2)} \cong \mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{Cob}^{\mathrm{or}}_{2,1,0}, \mathcal{C}). \tag{7.2}$$

The above equivalence can be proven directly by using a presentation of the oriented bordism bicategory via generators and relations, given in [SP09], and the notion of a Calabi-Yau object internal to a bicategory. The details will appear in [HVar].
Here, we want instead to comment on an alternative approach. Namely, in order to provide an equivalence as in (7.2), it suffices to identify the oriented bordism bicategory with the *colimit* of the $SO(2)$-action on $\mathbb{F}_{cfd}$. Indeed, recall that one may define a G-action on a bicategory $\mathcal{C}$ to be a trifunctor $\rho : B\Pi_2(G) \to$ Bicat with $\rho(*) = \mathcal{C}$. The tricategorical colimit of this functor will then be the bicategory of co-invariants or *homotopy orbits* of the G-action, denoted by $\mathcal{C}_G$. By Definition of the tricategorical colimit, and the fact that colimits are sent to limits by the Hom functor, we then obtain an equivalence of bicategories

$$\mathrm{Fun}_\otimes(\mathcal{C}_G, \mathcal{D}) \cong \mathrm{Fun}_\otimes(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})^G. \tag{7.3}$$

The following conjecture is then natural:

Conjecture 7.1. *The bicategory of co-invariants of the $SO(2)$-action on $\mathbb{F}_{cfd}$ is monoidally equivalent to the oriented bordism bicategory, i.e. we have a monoidal equivalence*

$$(\mathbb{F}_{cfd})_{SO(2)} \cong \mathrm{Cob}^{\mathrm{or}}_{2,1,0}\,. \tag{7.4}$$

Furthermore, the colimit is compatible with the monoidal structure.

Remark 7.2. We believe that this is not an isolated phenomenon, in the sense that any higher bordism category equipped with additional tangential structure should be obtained by taking an appropriate colimit of a G-action on the framed bordism category.

Given Conjecture 7.1 and Equation 7.3, we obtain the following sequence of monoidal equivalences

$$\mathrm{Fun}_\otimes(\mathrm{Cob}^{\mathrm{or}}_{2,1,0}, \mathcal{C}) \cong \mathrm{Fun}_\otimes((\mathbb{F}_{cfd})_{SO(2)}, \mathcal{C}) \cong \mathrm{Fun}_\otimes(\mathbb{F}_{cfd}, \mathcal{C})^{SO(2)} \cong \mathscr{K}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{fd}})^{SO(2)}. \tag{7.5}$$

Hence Conjecture 7.1 implies the Cobordism Hypothesis for oriented 2-manifolds. Notice that the chain of equivalences in 7.5 is natural in $\mathcal{C}$.
On the other hand, the Cobordism Hypothesis for oriented manifolds in 2-dimensions implies Conjecture 7.1 . Indeed, by using a tricategorical version of the Yoneda Lemma, as developed for instance in [Buh15], the chain of equivalences

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Fun}_\otimes(\mathrm{Cob}^{\mathrm{or}}_{2,1,0}, \mathcal{C}) &\cong \mathscr{K}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{fd}})^{SO(2)} \\ &\cong \mathrm{Fun}_\otimes(\mathrm{Cob}^{\mathrm{fr}}_{2,1,0}, \mathcal{C})^{SO(2)} \\ &\cong \mathrm{Fun}_\otimes((\mathbb{F}_{cfd})_{SO(2)}, \mathcal{C}) \end{aligned} \tag{7.6}$$

implies that $\mathrm{Cob}^{\mathrm{or}}_{2,1,0}$ is equivalent to $(\mathbb{F}_{cfd})_{SO(2)}$, due to the uniqueness of representable objects.
We summarise the above arguments in the following

Lemma 7.3. *The Cobordism Hypothesis for oriented 2-dimensional manifolds is equivalent to Conjecture 7.1.*

It would then be of great interest to develop concrete constructions of homotopy co-invariants of actions of groups on bicategories, in the same spirit of [HSV16] and the present work, in order to verify directly the equivalence in Conjecture 7.1, and to extend the above arguments to general tangential G-structures.



FIGURE 1. Diagram for the proof of Lemma 2.10

References

[Buh15] L. Buhné, *Topics In Three-Dimensional Descent Theory*, available at `http://ediss.sub.uni-hamburg.de/volltexte/2015/7346/pdf/Dissertation.pdf`, 2015, Ph.D. thesis, Universität Hamburg.

[Dav11] O. Davidovich, *State sums in two dimensional fully extended topological field theories*, Ph.D. thesis, University Texas at Austin (2011).

[DSS13] C. L. Douglas, C. Schommer-Pries, and N. Snyder, *Dualizable Tensor Categories*, ArXiv e-prints (2013). arXiv:1312.7188

[Fre14a] D. S. Freed, *Anomalies and Invertible Field Theories*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. **88** (2014), 25–46. arXiv:1404.7224

[Fre14b] ———, *Short-range entanglement and invertible field theories*. arXiv:1406.7278

[Hes16] J. Hesse, *An equivalence between Frobenius algebras and Calabi-Yau categories*, ArXiv e-prints (2016). arXiv:1609.06475

[HSV16] J. Hesse, C. Schweigert, and A. Valentino, *Frobenius algebras and homotopy fixed points of group actions on bicategories*, ArXiv e-prints (2016). arXiv:1607.05148

[HVar] J. Hesse and A. Valentino, *The 2-dimensional Cobordism Hypothesis via Calabi-Yau objects and open/closed field theories*.

[Lur09] J. Lurie, *On the classification of topological field theories*, Current developments in mathematics, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2009, pp. 129–280.

[Pst14] P. Pstrągowski, *On dualizable objects in monoidal bicategories, framed surfaces and the Cobordism Hypothesis*, ArXiv e-prints (2014). arXiv:1411.6691

[Sch13] C. Schommer-Pries, *Dualizability in Low-Dimensional Higher Category Theory*, ArXiv e-prints (2013). arXiv:1308.3574

[SP09] C. Schommer-Pries, *The classification of two-dimensional extended topological field theories*, ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2009, Thesis (Ph.D.)–University of California, Berkeley. arXiv:1112.1000

Fachbereich Mathematik, Universität Hamburg, Bereich Algebra und Zahlentheorie, Bundesstrasse 55, D – 20 146 Hamburg

Institut für Mathematik, Universität Zürich Winterthurerstrasse 190 CH-8057 Zürich